

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Case No. 2:16-CV-2662 JCM (NJK)

8 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

9 v.

10 MARTHA LEIVA, et al.,

11 Defendant(s).
12

13 Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
14 following an order to show cause as to why the defendants should not be severed and all but the
15 first-named defendant dismissed. (ECF No. 28). Plaintiff ME2 Productions, Inc., filed an
16 objection. (ECF No. 33). Defendants have not filed a response, and the time to do so has since
17 passed.

18 Also before the court is plaintiff's motion for entry of clerk's default against defendants
19 Martha Leiva, Martin Avalos, Cruz Santiago, Joseph Salmen, Aurora Cunanan, and Accera Group
20 Corporation. (ECF No. 30).

21 Also before the court is defendant Cruz Santiago's motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 23).
22 Plaintiff filed a response, (ECF No. 25), and the time for defendant to reply has since passed.

23 The present case is one of many filed by plaintiff against numerous Doe defendants.
24 Exhibit 1 to ME2's objection contains its memorandum and points of authority to support its
25 objections to the instant report and recommendation. (ECF No. 33-1). The exhibit is a carbon
26 copy of plaintiff's objections in the case of *ME2 Productions, Inc. v. Bayu*, case no. 2:17-cv-00724-
27 JCM-NJK. The court issued an order in *Bayu* that adopted Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and
28

1 recommendation in that case and severed all but the first-named defendant. For the same reasons,
2 the court finds severance here is appropriate.

3 Plaintiff's motion for entry of clerk's default requests entries of default against defendants
4 Leiva, Avalos, Santiago, Salmen, Cunanan, and Accera Group Corporation. (ECF No. 30).
5 Plaintiff's claims against defendants Avalos, Santiago, Salmen, Cunanan, and Accera Group
6 Corporation will be severed from the instant action, and therefore the court will deny plaintiff's
7 motion as it relates to these defendants.

8 However, the court will grant plaintiff's motion as it relates to defendant Leiva. Plaintiff
9 effectuated service on Leiva on May 1, 2017, which gave Leiva until May 22, 2017 to answer
10 plaintiff's complaint. (ECF No. 13). Leiva is yet to file a response. As the time for doing so has
11 passed, the court will grant plaintiff's motion for entry of clerk's default as to defendant Leiva.

12 Accordingly,

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
14 (ECF No. 28) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in part and REJECTED in part, consistent
15 with the foregoing.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all defendants except for Martha Leiva be, and the same
17 hereby are, dismissed without prejudice.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for entry of clerk's default (ECF No.
19 30) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED in part and DENIED in part, consistent with the
20 foregoing. The clerk shall enter default against defendant Leiva.

21 DATED November 15, 2017.

22 
23 _____
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28